# Double Space–Time Line Codes with Transmit Antenna Selection for Two Users

Youngjun Choi, Jingon Joung Sch. of EEE, Chung-Ang Univ. Seoul, South Korea {chd12000; jgjoung}@cau.ac.kr Jihoon Choi Sch. of EIE, Korea Aerospace Univ. Gyeonggi-do, South Korea jihoon@kau.ac.kr Bang Chul Jung Dept. of EE, Chungnam National Univ. Daejeon, South Korea bcjung@cnu.ac.kr

Abstract—A double space-time line code (D-STLC) scheme is applied to support two users. With a sufficient number of transmit antennas, a transmit antenna selection scheme is proposed to improve the communication performance. At the cost of the additional transmit antennas and the computational complexity increase, we can dramatically improve the bit-errorrate performance of the two-user D-STLC system. The proposed scheme is relevant for the high-performance systems, such as 5G and beyond-5G systems.

*Index Terms*—Double space-time line code, transmit antenna selection, 5G, B5G.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, a new full-rate full-spatial diversity achieving scheme, called space-time line code (STLC), has been devised in [1], [2]. The STLC scheme is a fully symmetric scheme of space-time block code (STBC), a.k.a an Alamouti code [3], due to the reciprocity between a transmitter and a receiver (refer to [1] for the details.). The STLC transmitter requires channel state information (CSI), yet the STLC receiver does or does not require it. Furthermore, by virtue of the lowcomplexity linear processing for the STLC encoding and decoding, the STLC scheme has been applied to various communication systems, in which the CSI is available at the transmitter, such as the multiuser systems [2], [4], twoway relay systems [5]–[7], antenna shuffling systems [8], and machine learning-based blind decoding systems [9]. As mentioned, since the STLC allows a blind detection at the receiver without CSI, the STLC transmitter does not need to broadcast a long-training or pilot sequence for the CSI estimation at the receiver, which hinders an unauthorized intruder, i.e., an eavesdropper, from estimating the channels, resulting in the physical-layer security improvement [10].

The previous studies with STLC considered a single-data stream transmission. The single-stream STLC was extended to a double STLC (D-STLC) that can transmit multiple STLC streams in [11]. The multiple-STLC streams can be interpreted to be delivered to multiple receivers independently, i.e., a multiuser STLC system. Contrast to the multiuser STLC schemes in [2], [4], in which the STLC transmitter transmits multiple STLCs simultaneously by ignoring the multiuser (or inter-symbol) interferences, the D-STLC in [11] minimizes



Fig. 1. D-STLC system with a transmit antenna selection scheme.

the inter-symbol interference based on minimum mean square error (MMSE) criterion.

In this study, we consider two users who are supported by D-STLC. Assuming a sufficient number of transmit antennas, we propose a transmit antenna selection (TAS) such that the effective signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) increases. From the numerical results, we verify that the proposed TAS scheme can significantly improve the bit-errorrate (BER) performance.

# II. PROPOSED TAS D-STLC SYSTEM

We consider an *M*-by-4 D-STLC system, in which a transmitter with *M*-transmit antennas support two users (U = 2) having two antennas each as shown in Fig. 1. The D-STLC signals are represented as follows [11]:

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{1,1} & s_{1,2} \\ s_{2,1} & s_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} \\ -x_{1,2}^* & x_{1,1}^* & -x_{2,2}^* & x_{2,1}^* \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2},$$

where  $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 4}$  is the D-STLC preprocessing matrix and  $x_{u,t}$  is the *t*th modulated information symbol that is intended to be delivered to user  $u \in \{1, 2\}$ , with  $\mathrm{E}_t[|x_{u,t}|^2] = \sigma_x^2$ . Considering D-STLC with two-transmit antenna selection, the receive signals are modeled as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{1,1} & \mathbf{r}_{1,2} \\ \mathbf{r}_{2,1} & \mathbf{r}_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \cdots \mathbf{h}_m \cdots \mathbf{h}_M \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1 & \mathbf{p}_2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_{u,t} \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 1}$  is the received signal vector, whose *n*th element  $r_{u,n,t}$  is the received signal at the *n*th receive antenna of user *u* at time *t*;  $\mathbf{h}_m \in \mathbb{C}^{4\times 1} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \mathbf{I}_4)$  is a channel vector between the *m*th transmit antenna and users, which is static for t = 1 and 2;  $\mathbf{p}_k$  is 4-by-1 antenna selection vector for the *k*th D-STLC symbols, namely  $s_{k,1}$  and  $s_{k,2}$ , whose *i*th element  $p_{k,i} = 1$  if the *i*th transmit antenna is selected, and  $p_{k,i} = 0$  otherwise; and  $\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{C}^{4\times 2} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_4)$  is the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) matrix. Here,  $\sum_i p_{k,i} = 1, \forall k$  and  $p_{1,i}p_{2,i} = 0, \forall i$  for the orthogonal antenna selection.

This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (2018R1A4A1023826 & NRF-2019R1A2C1084168).

Suppose that the  $i_1$ th and the  $i_2$ th transmit antennas are selected, where  $i_1 \neq i_2 \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ , the received signals in (1) can then be rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{1,1} & \mathbf{r}_{1,2} \\ \mathbf{r}_{2,1} & \mathbf{r}_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_{i_1} & \mathbf{h}_{i_2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{H}_{i_1 i_2} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 2}.$$
(2)

For the D-STLC decoding, (2) is alternatively reconstructed as a linear form as follows:

$$\mathbf{r} = [\mathbf{r}_{1,1}^T, \mathbf{r}_{2,1}^T, \mathbf{r}_{1,2}^H, \mathbf{r}_{2,2}^H]^T = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{i_1 i_2} \mathbf{V} \\ (\mathbf{H}_{i_1 i_2} \mathbf{V})^* \mathbf{P}_4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{8 \times 1}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = [x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{2,1}, x_{2,2}]^T$ ;  $\mathbf{P}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_2 & \mathbf{0}_2 \\ \mathbf{0}_2 & \mathbf{P}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ ;  $\mathbf{P}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ; and  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{8 \times 1}$  is the corresponding AWGN vector. Here, D-STLC preprocessing matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  can be designed for given  $\mathbf{H}_{i_1i_2} \in \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 2}$  based on an MMSE criterion as  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_{i_1i_2} = c \ \mathbf{H}_{i_1i_2}^H \mathbf{Z}_{i_1i_2} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 4}$ , where  $c = \|\mathbf{H}_{i_1i_2} \mathbf{Z}_{i_1i_2}\|_F^{-1}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_{i_1i_2} = (\mathbf{H}_{i_1i_2} \mathbf{H}_{i_1i_2}^H + \mathbf{P}_4^T \mathbf{H}_{i_1i_2}^* \mathbf{H}_{i_1i_2}^T \mathbf{P}_4 + \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_4)^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 4}$  [11]. The estimates of  $\mathbf{x}$ , denoted by  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ , are then obtained by simply combining the received signals in from (3) as

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} = [\widetilde{x_{1,1}} \ \widetilde{x_{1,2}} \ \widetilde{x_{2,1}} \ \widetilde{x_{2,2}}]^T = c^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_4 & \mathbf{P}_4^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}$$
(4)

and from this, the maximized detection SINR is derived as follows [11]:

$$p_{i_1 i_2} = 2U\sigma_x^2 (\sigma_n^2 \operatorname{tr} (\mathbf{Z}_{i_1 i_2}))^{-1}.$$
 (5)

We now propose a TAS strategy such that the detection SINR in (5) is maximized, by solving the following optimization problem:  $\min_{\{i_1,i_2\}\subset \mathcal{A}_M} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_{i_1i_2})$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_M$  is the set of all possible sets indicating the selected antenna pair from M transmit antennas, e.g.,  $\mathcal{A}_4 = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\{2,4\},\{3,4\}\}$  when M = 4. In general, for M transmit antennas,  $\binom{M}{2} = M(M-1)$ 

In general, for M transmit antennas,  $\binom{n}{2} = M(M-1)$  combinations are possible for the TAS pairs. Since  $\mathcal{O}(M^3)$ -complexity is typically required to compute for (5), the overall complexity of the proposed TAS is  $\mathcal{O}(M^5)$ , which is prohibitive if M is massive.

## **III. BER PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT FROM TAS**

In this section, the BER performance of the proposed TAS D-STLC has been evaluated by varying the system SNR  $E_x/\sigma_n^2$  and M. Quadrature phase-shift keying is used for x. Note that two antennas are selected in TAS, and thus, the number of radiofrequency (RF) chains is the same as the conventional D-STLC systems, while multiuser STLC uses whole antennas. As we can observe from the results in Figs. 2 and 3, the multiuser STLC in [2] achieves the worst performance due to the huge interference. D-STLC in [11] outperforms the multiuser STLC, while the proposed TAS D-STLC can significantly improve the BER performance of the 2-by-4 D-STLC system, by using more transmit antennas, especially SNR is high.

## IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, we have proposed a TAS scheme for D-STLC system with more than two transmit antennas. The proposed TAS can significantly improve communication performance with the cost of the computational complexity and additional antennas. The low-computational complexity TAS method will be studied as further work.



Fig. 2. BER performance comparison of the STLC systems when M = 4.



Fig. 3. BER performance over the number of transmit antennas when SNR  $\in \{10, 15\}$  dB.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Joung, "Space-time line code," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 1023–1041, Feb. 2018.
- [2] —, "Space-time line code for massive MIMO and multiuser systems with antenna allocation," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 962–979, Feb. 2018.
- [3] S. M. Alamouti, "A simple transmitter diversity scheme for wireless communications," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 16, pp. 1451–1458, Oct. 1998.
- [4] J. Joung and E.-R. Jeong, "Multiuser space-time line code with optimal and suboptimal power allocation methods," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 51766–51775, Oct. 2018.
- [5] J. Joung, "Energy efficient space-time line coded regenerative two-way relay under per-antenna power constraints," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 47 026–47 035, Sep. 2018.
- [6] J. Joung and J. Choi, "Space-time line code with power allocation for regenerative two-way relay systems," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 4884–4893, May 2019.
- [7] J. Joung, B. C. Jung, and J. Choi, "Space-time line coded regenerative two-way relay systems with power control," *IET Electronics Letters*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 694–696, Jun. 2019.
- [8] J. Joung and J. Choi, "Uneven power amplifier shuffling for space-time line code (STLC) systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 58491–58500, Oct. 2018.
- [9] J. Joung and B. C. Jung, "Machine learning based blind decoding for space-time line code (STLC) systems," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 5154–5158, May 2019.
- [10] J. Joung, J. Choi, B. C. Jung, and S. Yu, "Artificial noise injection and its power loading methods for secure space-time line coded systems," *MDPI Entropy*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 1–12, May 2019.
- [11] J. Joung, J. Choi, and B. C. Jung, "Double space-time line code," IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., 2019, under the second-round review.